



**Staff : SRI. HARIKUTTAN K.**

**Subject : MUT201 - Fluid Mechanics and Machinery**

**Session Name: Teacher Evaluation Jan2021**

**Session Id : TE-SN452-Jan-2021**

**Users Completed : 65**

**Batch : BTech KTU MA 2K19**

**Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020**

**Overall Score : 75.47**

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	18	27.69%	<b>319.5</b>
		Good	14.13	28	43.08%	<b>395.64</b>
		Fair	10.28	16	24.62%	<b>164.48</b>
		Poor	3.53	3	4.62%	<b>10.59</b>
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	<b>0</b>
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	15	23.08%	<b>217.5</b>
		Good	11.81	26	40%	<b>307.06</b>
		Fair	8.11	18	27.69%	<b>145.98</b>
		Poor	2.01	5	7.69%	<b>10.05</b>
		Can't Judge	4.09	1	1.54%	<b>4.09</b>
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	19	29.23%	<b>142.5</b>
		Good	5.71	28	43.08%	<b>159.88</b>
		Fair	4.04	12	18.46%	<b>48.48</b>
		Poor	1.13	5	7.69%	<b>5.65</b>
		Can't Judge	2.21	1	1.54%	<b>2.21</b>
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	39	60%	<b>228.15</b>
		10 to 25	3.66	12	18.46%	<b>43.92</b>
		More than 25	1.91	1	1.54%	<b>1.91</b>
		Can't Judge	1.64	13	20%	<b>21.32</b>
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	38	58.46%	<b>152</b>
Yes		0.67	11	16.92%	<b>7.37</b>	
Can't Judge		1.65	16	24.62%	<b>26.4</b>	
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	19	29.23%	<b>175.75</b>
		Satisfactory	6.34	29	44.62%	<b>183.86</b>
		Inadequate	2.50	8	12.31%	<b>20</b>
		Can't Judge	3.16	9	13.85%	<b>28.44</b>
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	41	63.08%	<b>194.75</b>
		Too Fast	1.34	5	7.69%	<b>6.7</b>
		Can't Judge	1.40	19	29.23%	<b>26.6</b>
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	32	49.23%	<b>220.16</b>
		Some Times	3.89	21	32.31%	<b>81.69</b>
		No	0.74	3	4.62%	<b>2.22</b>
		Can't Judge	1.78	9	13.85%	<b>16.02</b>
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	48	73.85%	<b>324</b>
		Indifferent	2.78	7	10.77%	<b>19.46</b>
		Unpleasant	1.28	2	3.08%	<b>2.56</b>
		Can't Judge	1.94	8	12.31%	<b>15.52</b>
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	50	76.92%	<b>575</b>
		Not Sincere	1.33	5	7.69%	<b>6.65</b>
		Unable to Judge	5.06	8	12.31%	<b>40.48</b>
		Blank	4.03	2	3.08%	<b>8.06</b>
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	22	33.85%	<b>247.5</b>
		Good	9.03	22	33.85%	<b>198.66</b>
		Fair	6.46	13	20%	<b>83.98</b>
		Poor	1.56	7	10.77%	<b>10.92</b>
		Can't Judge	2.03	1	1.54%	<b>2.03</b>

**Strength and Weakness**

- good
- poli
- sincere
- .

**Special Remarks**

- super
- hard working
- .

**Head of The Department**



Staff : DR. PRAKASH U.

Subject : MUT203 - AUTO CHASSIS

Session Name: Teacher Evaluation Jan2021

Session Id : TE-SN452-Jan-2021

Users Completed : 64

Batch : BTech KTU MA 2K19

Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020

Overall Score : 88.41

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	42	65.63%	745.5
		Good	14.13	19	29.69%	268.47
		Fair	10.28	2	3.13%	20.56
		Poor	3.53	1	1.56%	3.53
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	33	51.56%	478.5
		Good	11.81	28	43.75%	330.68
		Fair	8.11	2	3.13%	16.22
		Poor	2.01	1	1.56%	2.01
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	36	56.25%	270
		Good	5.71	22	34.38%	125.62
		Fair	4.04	4	6.25%	16.16
		Poor	1.13	1	1.56%	1.13
		Can't Judge	2.21	1	1.56%	2.21
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	47	73.44%	274.95
		10 to 25	3.66	9	14.06%	32.94
		More than 25	1.91	1	1.56%	1.91
		Can't Judge	1.64	7	10.94%	11.48
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	51	79.69%	204
Yes		0.67	6	9.38%	4.02	
Can't Judge		1.65	7	10.94%	11.55	
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	37	57.81%	342.25
		Satisfactory	6.34	24	37.5%	152.16
		Inadequate	2.50	2	3.13%	5
		Can't Judge	3.16	1	1.56%	3.16
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	50	78.13%	237.5
		Too Fast	1.34	6	9.38%	8.04
		Can't Judge	1.40	8	12.5%	11.2
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	47	73.44%	323.36
		Some Times	3.89	12	18.75%	46.68
		No	0.74	1	1.56%	0.74
		Can't Judge	1.78	4	6.25%	7.12
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	52	81.25%	351
		Indifferent	2.78	5	7.81%	13.9
		Unpleasant	1.28	2	3.13%	2.56
		Can't Judge	1.94	5	7.81%	9.7
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	57	89.06%	655.5
		Not Sincere	1.33	1	1.56%	1.33
		Unable to Judge	5.06	5	7.81%	25.3
		Blank	4.03	1	1.56%	4.03
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	35	54.69%	393.75
		Good	9.03	25	39.06%	225.75
		Fair	6.46	2	3.13%	12.92
		Poor	1.56	1	1.56%	1.56
		Can't Judge	2.03	1	1.56%	2.03

**Strength and Weakness**

- super
- sincere
- .
- strength - Good speaking sense

**Special Remarks**

- power
- hardworking
- .
- excellent knowledge
- Good communication skill

**Head of The Department**



**Staff : DR.SHYJI S.**

**Subject : MET205 - METALLURGY & MATERIAL SCIENCE**

**Session Name: Teacher Evaluation Jan2021**

**Session Id : TE-SN452-Jan-2021**

**Users Completed : 64**

**Batch : BTech KTU MA 2K19**

**Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020**

**Overall Score : 83.26**

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	30	46.88%	<b>532.5</b>
		Good	14.13	23	35.94%	<b>324.99</b>
		Fair	10.28	10	15.63%	<b>102.8</b>
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	8.00	1	1.56%	<b>8</b>
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	27	42.19%	<b>391.5</b>
		Good	11.81	20	31.25%	<b>236.2</b>
		Fair	8.11	15	23.44%	<b>121.65</b>
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	4.09	2	3.13%	<b>8.18</b>
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	30	46.88%	<b>225</b>
		Good	5.71	25	39.06%	<b>142.75</b>
		Fair	4.04	8	12.5%	<b>32.32</b>
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	2.21	1	1.56%	<b>2.21</b>
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	45	70.31%	<b>263.25</b>
		10 to 25	3.66	9	14.06%	<b>32.94</b>
		More than 25	1.91	1	1.56%	<b>1.91</b>
		Can't Judge	1.64	9	14.06%	<b>14.76</b>
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	47	73.44%	<b>188</b>
Yes		0.67	8	12.5%	<b>5.36</b>	
Can't Judge		1.65	9	14.06%	<b>14.85</b>	
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	31	48.44%	<b>286.75</b>
		Satisfactory	6.34	25	39.06%	<b>158.5</b>
		Inadequate	2.50	2	3.13%	<b>5</b>
		Can't Judge	3.16	6	9.38%	<b>18.96</b>
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	47	73.44%	<b>223.25</b>
		Too Fast	1.34	9	14.06%	<b>12.06</b>
		Can't Judge	1.40	8	12.5%	<b>11.2</b>
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	36	56.25%	<b>247.68</b>
		Some Times	3.89	20	31.25%	<b>77.8</b>
		No	0.74	1	1.56%	<b>0.74</b>
		Can't Judge	1.78	7	10.94%	<b>12.46</b>
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	50	78.13%	<b>337.5</b>
		Indifferent	2.78	6	9.38%	<b>16.68</b>
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	1.94	8	12.5%	<b>15.52</b>
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	54	84.38%	<b>621</b>
		Not Sincere	1.33	4	6.25%	<b>5.32</b>
		Unable to Judge	5.06	5	7.81%	<b>25.3</b>
		Blank	4.03	1	1.56%	<b>4.03</b>
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	30	46.88%	<b>337.5</b>
		Good	9.03	22	34.38%	<b>198.66</b>
		Fair	6.46	9	14.06%	<b>58.14</b>
		Poor	1.56	2	3.13%	<b>3.12</b>
		Can't Judge	2.03	1	1.56%	<b>2.03</b>

**Strength and Weakness**

- chuuuper
- sincere
- .
- strength - excellent teaching

**Special Remarks**

- kidilam
- hardworking
- .
- Good approach to students
- Good teaching skill

**Head of The Department**



Staff : PROF. (DR.) MOHAN G

Subject : MEL201 - COMPUTER AIDED MACHINE DRAWING

Session Name: Teacher Evaluation Jan2021

Session Id : TE-SN452-Jan-2021

Users Completed : 59

Batch : BTech KTU MA 2K19

Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020

Overall Score : 81.31

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	25	42.37%	443.75
		Good	14.13	20	33.9%	282.6
		Fair	10.28	10	16.95%	102.8
		Poor	3.53	3	5.08%	10.59
		Can't Judge	8.00	1	1.69%	8
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	23	38.98%	333.5
		Good	11.81	18	30.51%	212.58
		Fair	8.11	13	22.03%	105.43
		Poor	2.01	3	5.08%	6.03
		Can't Judge	4.09	2	3.39%	8.18
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	21	35.59%	157.5
		Good	5.71	23	38.98%	131.33
		Fair	4.04	11	18.64%	44.44
		Poor	1.13	3	5.08%	3.39
		Can't Judge	2.21	1	1.69%	2.21
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	44	74.58%	257.4
		10 to 25	3.66	5	8.47%	18.3
		More than 25	1.91	2	3.39%	3.82
		Can't Judge	1.64	8	13.56%	13.12
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	42	71.19%	168
Yes		0.67	8	13.56%	5.36	
Can't Judge		1.65	9	15.25%	14.85	
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	29	49.15%	268.25
		Satisfactory	6.34	22	37.29%	139.48
		Inadequate	2.50	3	5.08%	7.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	5	8.47%	15.8
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	42	71.19%	199.5
		Too Fast	1.34	9	15.25%	12.06
		Can't Judge	1.40	8	13.56%	11.2
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	38	64.41%	261.44
		Some Times	3.89	12	20.34%	46.68
		No	0.74	2	3.39%	1.48
		Can't Judge	1.78	7	11.86%	12.46
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	51	86.44%	344.25
		Indifferent	2.78	1	1.69%	2.78
		Unpleasant	1.28	1	1.69%	1.28
		Can't Judge	1.94	6	10.17%	11.64
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	48	81.36%	552
		Not Sincere	1.33	2	3.39%	2.66
		Unable to Judge	5.06	6	10.17%	30.36
		Blank	4.03	3	5.08%	12.09
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	28	47.46%	315
		Good	9.03	17	28.81%	153.51
		Fair	6.46	8	13.56%	51.68
		Poor	1.56	2	3.39%	3.12
		Can't Judge	2.03	4	6.78%	8.12

**Strength and Weakness**

No remarks found.

**Special Remarks**

- drawing ideas

**Head of The Department**







**Staff : DR. SREEJITH B.J.**

**Subject : MEL201 - COMPUTER AIDED MACHINE DRAWING**

**Session Name: Teacher Evaluation Jan2021**

**Session Id : TE-SN452-Jan-2021**

**Users Completed : 60**

**Batch : BTech KTU MA 2K19**

**Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020**

**Overall Score : 87.62**

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	38	63.33%	<b>674.5</b>
		Good	14.13	15	25%	<b>211.95</b>
		Fair	10.28	5	8.33%	<b>51.4</b>
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	8.00	2	3.33%	<b>16</b>
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	38	63.33%	<b>551</b>
		Good	11.81	12	20%	<b>141.72</b>
		Fair	8.11	7	11.67%	<b>56.77</b>
		Poor	2.01	1	1.67%	<b>2.01</b>
		Can't Judge	4.09	2	3.33%	<b>8.18</b>
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	37	61.67%	<b>277.5</b>
		Good	5.71	15	25%	<b>85.65</b>
		Fair	4.04	6	10%	<b>24.24</b>
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	2.21	2	3.33%	<b>4.42</b>
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	47	78.33%	<b>274.95</b>
		10 to 25	3.66	2	3.33%	<b>7.32</b>
		More than 25	1.91	1	1.67%	<b>1.91</b>
		Can't Judge	1.64	10	16.67%	<b>16.4</b>
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	45	75%	<b>180</b>
Yes		0.67	5	8.33%	<b>3.35</b>	
Can't Judge		1.65	10	16.67%	<b>16.5</b>	
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	38	63.33%	<b>351.5</b>
		Satisfactory	6.34	17	28.33%	<b>107.78</b>
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	3.16	5	8.33%	<b>15.8</b>
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	50	83.33%	<b>237.5</b>
		Too Fast	1.34	1	1.67%	<b>1.34</b>
		Can't Judge	1.40	9	15%	<b>12.6</b>
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	40	66.67%	<b>275.2</b>
		Some Times	3.89	12	20%	<b>46.68</b>
		No	0.74	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	1.78	8	13.33%	<b>14.24</b>
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	53	88.33%	<b>357.75</b>
		Indifferent	2.78	2	3.33%	<b>5.56</b>
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	1.94	5	8.33%	<b>9.7</b>
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	51	85%	<b>586.5</b>
		Not Sincere	1.33	2	3.33%	<b>2.66</b>
		Unable to Judge	5.06	6	10%	<b>30.36</b>
		Blank	4.03	1	1.67%	<b>4.03</b>
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	37	61.67%	<b>416.25</b>
		Good	9.03	14	23.33%	<b>126.42</b>
		Fair	6.46	7	11.67%	<b>45.22</b>
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	2.03	2	3.33%	<b>4.06</b>

**Strength and Weakness**

No remarks found.

**Special Remarks**

- Good drawing ideas

**Head of The Department**





Staff : PROF. ABHILASH S.S.

Subject : MUL203 - FM & HM LAB

Session Name: Teacher Evaluation Jan2021

Session Id : TE-SN452-Jan-2021

Users Completed : 60

Batch : BTech KTU MA 2K19

Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020

Overall Score : 84.7

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	32	53.33%	568
		Good	14.13	16	26.67%	226.08
		Fair	10.28	9	15%	92.52
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	3	5%	24
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	33	55%	478.5
		Good	11.81	14	23.33%	165.34
		Fair	8.11	11	18.33%	89.21
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	2	3.33%	8.18
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	30	50%	225
		Good	5.71	19	31.67%	108.49
		Fair	4.04	9	15%	36.36
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	2	3.33%	4.42
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	43	71.67%	251.55
		10 to 25	3.66	5	8.33%	18.3
		More than 25	1.91	1	1.67%	1.91
		Can't Judge	1.64	11	18.33%	18.04
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	44	73.33%	176
Yes		0.67	6	10%	4.02	
Can't Judge		1.65	10	16.67%	16.5	
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	34	56.67%	314.5
		Satisfactory	6.34	18	30%	114.12
		Inadequate	2.50	4	6.67%	10
		Can't Judge	3.16	4	6.67%	12.64
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	44	73.33%	209
		Too Fast	1.34	2	3.33%	2.68
		Can't Judge	1.40	14	23.33%	19.6
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	39	65%	268.32
		Some Times	3.89	10	16.67%	38.9
		No	0.74	3	5%	2.22
		Can't Judge	1.78	8	13.33%	14.24
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	51	85%	344.25
		Indifferent	2.78	2	3.33%	5.56
		Unpleasant	1.28	2	3.33%	2.56
		Can't Judge	1.94	5	8.33%	9.7
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	50	83.33%	575
		Not Sincere	1.33	1	1.67%	1.33
		Unable to Judge	5.06	8	13.33%	40.48
		Blank	4.03	1	1.67%	4.03
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	36	60%	405
		Good	9.03	15	25%	135.45
		Fair	6.46	5	8.33%	32.3
		Poor	1.56	1	1.67%	1.56
		Can't Judge	2.03	3	5%	6.09

**Strength and Weakness**

No remarks found.

**Special Remarks**

- knowledge about lab experiments

**Head of The Department**





Staff : SMT. DEEPA S.

Subject : MUL203 - FM & HM LAB

Session Name: Teacher Evaluation Jan2021

Session Id : TE-SN452-Jan-2021

Users Completed : 58

Batch : BTech KTU MA 2K19

Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020

Overall Score : 84.43

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	30	51.72%	532.5
		Good	14.13	19	32.76%	268.47
		Fair	10.28	5	8.62%	51.4
		Poor	3.53	1	1.72%	3.53
		Can't Judge	8.00	3	5.17%	24
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	30	51.72%	435
		Good	11.81	18	31.03%	212.58
		Fair	8.11	8	13.79%	64.88
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	2	3.45%	8.18
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	30	51.72%	225
		Good	5.71	17	29.31%	97.07
		Fair	4.04	7	12.07%	28.28
		Poor	1.13	1	1.72%	1.13
		Can't Judge	2.21	3	5.17%	6.63
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	41	70.69%	239.85
		10 to 25	3.66	5	8.62%	18.3
		More than 25	1.91	1	1.72%	1.91
		Can't Judge	1.64	11	18.97%	18.04
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	42	72.41%	168
Yes		0.67	3	5.17%	2.01	
Can't Judge		1.65	13	22.41%	21.45	
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	30	51.72%	277.5
		Satisfactory	6.34	21	36.21%	133.14
		Inadequate	2.50	2	3.45%	5
		Can't Judge	3.16	5	8.62%	15.8
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	43	74.14%	204.25
		Too Fast	1.34	3	5.17%	4.02
		Can't Judge	1.40	12	20.69%	16.8
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	33	56.9%	227.04
		Some Times	3.89	16	27.59%	62.24
		No	0.74	3	5.17%	2.22
		Can't Judge	1.78	6	10.34%	10.68
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	48	82.76%	324
		Indifferent	2.78	2	3.45%	5.56
		Unpleasant	1.28	2	3.45%	2.56
		Can't Judge	1.94	6	10.34%	11.64
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	50	86.21%	575
		Not Sincere	1.33	1	1.72%	1.33
		Unable to Judge	5.06	4	6.9%	20.24
		Blank	4.03	3	5.17%	12.09
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	32	55.17%	360
		Good	9.03	17	29.31%	153.51
		Fair	6.46	6	10.34%	38.76
		Poor	1.56	1	1.72%	1.56
		Can't Judge	2.03	2	3.45%	4.06

**Strength and Weakness**

No remarks found.

**Special Remarks**

- Good approach

**Head of The Department**





Staff : DR. G. SATHI DEVI

Subject : MAT201 - PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION AND COMPLEX ANALYSIS

Session Name: Teacher Evaluation Jan2021

Session Id : TE-SN452-Jan-2021

Users Completed : 57

Batch : BTech KTU MA 2K19

Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020

Overall Score : 87.31

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	31	54.39%	550.25
		Good	14.13	20	35.09%	282.6
		Fair	10.28	5	8.77%	51.4
		Poor	3.53	1	1.75%	3.53
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	27	47.37%	391.5
		Good	11.81	19	33.33%	224.39
		Fair	8.11	10	17.54%	81.1
		Poor	2.01	1	1.75%	2.01
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	31	54.39%	232.5
		Good	5.71	16	28.07%	91.36
		Fair	4.04	9	15.79%	36.36
		Poor	1.13	1	1.75%	1.13
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	41	71.93%	239.85
		10 to 25	3.66	7	12.28%	25.62
		More than 25	1.91	2	3.51%	3.82
		Can't Judge	1.64	7	12.28%	11.48
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	37	64.91%	148
Yes		0.67	14	24.56%	9.38	
Can't Judge		1.65	6	10.53%	9.9	
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	34	59.65%	314.5
		Satisfactory	6.34	20	35.09%	126.8
		Inadequate	2.50	2	3.51%	5
		Can't Judge	3.16	1	1.75%	3.16
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	47	82.46%	223.25
		Too Fast	1.34	5	8.77%	6.7
		Can't Judge	1.40	5	8.77%	7
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	41	71.93%	282.08
		Some Times	3.89	12	21.05%	46.68
		No	0.74	1	1.75%	0.74
		Can't Judge	1.78	3	5.26%	5.34
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	54	94.74%	364.5
		Indifferent	2.78	1	1.75%	2.78
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.94	2	3.51%	3.88
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	53	92.98%	609.5
		Not Sincere	1.33	1	1.75%	1.33
		Unable to Judge	5.06	2	3.51%	10.12
		Blank	4.03	1	1.75%	4.03
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	32	56.14%	360
		Good	9.03	18	31.58%	162.54
		Fair	6.46	6	10.53%	38.76
		Poor	1.56	1	1.75%	1.56
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	0

**Strength and Weakness**

No remarks found.

**Special Remarks**

- knowledge about subject

**Head of The Department**







Staff : DR. JAYAKUMAR E.

Subject : EST200 - DESIGN AND ENGINEERING

Session Name: Teacher Evaluation Jan2021

Session Id : TE-SN452-Jan-2021

Users Completed : 58

Batch : BTech KTU MA 2K19

Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020

Overall Score : 80.13

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	24	41.38%	426
		Good	14.13	25	43.1%	353.25
		Fair	10.28	5	8.62%	51.4
		Poor	3.53	3	5.17%	10.59
		Can't Judge	8.00	1	1.72%	8
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	22	37.93%	319
		Good	11.81	19	32.76%	224.39
		Fair	8.11	14	24.14%	113.54
		Poor	2.01	2	3.45%	4.02
		Can't Judge	4.09	1	1.72%	4.09
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	22	37.93%	165
		Good	5.71	20	34.48%	114.2
		Fair	4.04	12	20.69%	48.48
		Poor	1.13	2	3.45%	2.26
		Can't Judge	2.21	2	3.45%	4.42
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	39	67.24%	228.15
		10 to 25	3.66	7	12.07%	25.62
		More than 25	1.91	1	1.72%	1.91
		Can't Judge	1.64	11	18.97%	18.04
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	40	68.97%	160
Yes		0.67	7	12.07%	4.69	
Can't Judge		1.65	11	18.97%	18.15	
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	27	46.55%	249.75
		Satisfactory	6.34	20	34.48%	126.8
		Inadequate	2.50	6	10.34%	15
		Can't Judge	3.16	5	8.62%	15.8
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	43	74.14%	204.25
		Too Fast	1.34	2	3.45%	2.68
		Can't Judge	1.40	13	22.41%	18.2
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	33	56.9%	227.04
		Some Times	3.89	16	27.59%	62.24
		No	0.74	3	5.17%	2.22
		Can't Judge	1.78	6	10.34%	10.68
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	42	72.41%	283.5
		Indifferent	2.78	4	6.9%	11.12
		Unpleasant	1.28	4	6.9%	5.12
		Can't Judge	1.94	8	13.79%	15.52
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	46	79.31%	529
		Not Sincere	1.33	3	5.17%	3.99
		Unable to Judge	5.06	8	13.79%	40.48
		Blank	4.03	1	1.72%	4.03
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	27	46.55%	303.75
		Good	9.03	13	22.41%	117.39
		Fair	6.46	13	22.41%	83.98
		Poor	1.56	1	1.72%	1.56
		Can't Judge	2.03	4	6.9%	8.12

**Strength and Weakness**

No remarks found.

**Special Remarks**

- Ideas about designing

**Head of The Department**





Staff : DR.SHIMI LAWRENCE

Subject : MCN201 - SUSTAINABLE ENGINEERING

Session Name: Teacher Evaluation Jan2021

Session Id : TE-SN452-Jan-2021

Users Completed : 59

Batch : BTech KTU MA 2K19

Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020

Overall Score : 96.92

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	50	84.75%	887.5
		Good	14.13	8	13.56%	113.04
		Fair	10.28	1	1.69%	10.28
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	51	86.44%	739.5
		Good	11.81	7	11.86%	82.67
		Fair	8.11	1	1.69%	8.11
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	54	91.53%	405
		Good	5.71	4	6.78%	22.84
		Fair	4.04	1	1.69%	4.04
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	49	83.05%	286.65
		10 to 25	3.66	2	3.39%	7.32
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.64	8	13.56%	13.12
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	52	88.14%	208
Yes		0.67	5	8.47%	3.35	
Can't Judge		1.65	2	3.39%	3.3	
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	56	94.92%	518
		Satisfactory	6.34	3	5.08%	19.02
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	0
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	59	100%	280.25
		Too Fast	1.34	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.40	0	0%	0
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	53	89.83%	364.64
		Some Times	3.89	6	10.17%	23.34
		No	0.74	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	0
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	59	100%	398.25
		Indifferent	2.78	0	0%	0
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.94	0	0%	0
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	58	98.31%	667
		Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	0
		Unable to Judge	5.06	1	1.69%	5.06
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	0
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	52	88.14%	585
		Good	9.03	7	11.86%	63.21
		Fair	6.46	0	0%	0
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	0

**Strength and Weakness**

No remarks found.

**Special Remarks**

- exact examples of natural impacts and environmental assessments

**Head of The Department**

